

Review Article

Educational Diversity in the United States: Analyzing the Impact of the Trump Administration's Policies on Diversity Initiatives

Joseph Ozigis Akomodi

Department of Education, University of Long Island, New York, USA

Email: jakomod@schools.nyc.gov

Received: May 22, 2025

Accepted: June 12, 2025

Published: June 19, 2025

Abstract

The research evaluates the influence of the Trump administration's campaigns on initiatives to leverage student diversity in the United States, putting into deliberation the impact of leadership alterations and school climate on diverse learners' motivation and engagement. The analysis presumes that robust student-teacher relationships and culturally adaptable instructional techniques are critical to making learning fulfilling. It further explains ways in which leadership decisions inform school atmosphere, affecting the inclusion and backing of students from varied backgrounds, such as people from low-educated households, or culturally distinct groups. Also, the report illustrates the necessity of appreciating diverse types of learning beyond traditional involvement metrics using the silently engaged Japanese classroom as a case study. The report investigates how different government initiatives impacted funding, curriculum planning, and diversity training, challenging the established models of fostering trust, curiosity, and motivation in schools. The research emphasizes that successful diversity understanding requires flexible leadership and pedagogic strategies valuing all learning and student voices styles, establishing an atmosphere where every student can succeed academically and personally. This comprehensive approach provides insights to guide educators and policymakers keen on creating inclusive, culturally adaptable schools honoring different learning sounds and empowering learning equity.

Keywords: Diversity Equity and Inclusion, Diversity Initiatives, Administration's Policies, Impact on Education.

Introduction

Educational diversity in the United States encompasses the inclusion and representation of students from diverse racial, ethnic, cultural, linguistic, socioeconomic, and ability backgrounds within educational settings. This diversity is vital for fostering equitable learning opportunities, nurturing critical thinking skills, and preparing students to succeed in a pluralistic society (Gupta *et al.*, 2025). Historically, efforts to promote diversity in education have included landmark policies such as desegregation, affirmative action, and the development of multicultural curricula aimed at reducing systemic disparities and enhancing inclusivity (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Mawa, 2024).

The Trump administration marked a significant departure from previous federal stances on diversity and inclusion, often enacting policy rollbacks and funding reductions that undermined established diversity initiatives (Patricca and Lurigio, 2025). These changes were part of a broader anti-diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) agenda, which critics argue weakened the progress made over decades in fostering inclusive educational environments (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Rosa, 2025). Funding cuts and policy alterations during this period restricted the resources available to ethnically diverse schools and institutions, affecting their capacity to sustain inclusive programs (Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024; Akomodi, 2025).

Understanding the specific policies enacted under the Trump administration and their effects on educational diversity is crucial for assessing the broader implications of political leadership on equity in education. The administration's approach reflected a shift toward limiting federal oversight of diversity initiatives, which had significant repercussions on institutional practices and student outcomes (Kim *et al.*, 2025; Weaver *et al.*, 2025). Additionally, these policy changes raised concerns regarding workplace and educational

inclusivity, as diversity training and DEI programs faced increased scrutiny and defunding (Wardi *et al.*, 2024; Kaur and Singh, 2025).

This study seeks to answer two primary questions: What policies or actions during the Trump administration targeted educational diversity? And, what were the effects of these policies on diversity in schools and educational institutions? Examining this period is significant for understanding the trajectory of diversity efforts in U.S. education and emphasizes the importance of continuous evaluation and adaptation of policies to sustain equitable and inclusive learning environments (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Aremu *et al.*, 2024).

Given the lasting impact of these policies, educational stakeholders and policymakers must carefully analyze the lessons learned to guide future diversity initiatives and ensure that the progress toward inclusive education is not reversed (Russell, 2023; Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025). This research contributes to that goal by providing a comprehensive analysis grounded in the broader socio-political context of the Trump administration's influence on educational diversity.

Methods

This study employs a qualitative-dominant mixed-methods approach to analyze the impact of the Trump administration's policies on educational diversity initiatives in the United States. The methodology integrates document analysis, secondary source review, case studies, comparative data collection, and stakeholder input to provide a comprehensive understanding of policy effects. A critical component of this research involves systematic qualitative content analysis of primary sources including executive orders, policy documents, and public statements issued by the Trump administration related to education and diversity (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Patricca and Lurigio, 2025). This analysis identifies the administration's official stance, policy shifts, and language surrounding diversity and inclusion efforts. Document analysis follows established protocols to code themes and rhetoric, revealing underlying policy intentions and potential impacts on educational equity (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025).

To contextualize these findings, the study reviews a wide range of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed academic articles, news media reports, and expert analyses that critique or interpret the administration's policies (Akomodì, 2025; Weaver *et al.*, 2025). This triangulation enhances understanding of policy reception and real-world implications, drawing on diverse perspectives from educational researchers, policy analysts, and journalists (Wardi *et al.*, 2024; Kim *et al.*, 2025). The research incorporates detailed case studies of multiple education institutions that were significantly affected by these policy changes. Institutions are selected purposively to represent a range of geographic locations, institutional types (public/private), and demographic compositions (Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024; Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025). Each case study examines institutional responses to policy shifts, changes in program funding, diversity initiatives, and challenges faced. Data collection for case studies includes institutional reports, interviews, and observations to develop rich, contextualized narratives (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025).

Quantitative data on key diversity metrics such as enrollment rates of underrepresented student groups, graduation rates, and resource allocations are collected for periods before, during, and after the Trump administration. This longitudinal comparison enables assessment of measurable changes attributable to policy interventions (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Aremu *et al.*, 2024). Statistical methods including trend analysis and regression are employed to identify significant shifts and correlations (Akomodì *et al.*, 2025). To capture frontline perspectives, semi-structured interviews and surveys are conducted with educators, diversity officers, and administrative leaders across the sampled institutions (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Kaur and Singh, 2025). These instruments explore experiences with policy implementation, perceived impacts on inclusivity, and strategies used to mitigate challenges (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Rosa, 2025). Data from these engagements are thematically analyzed using qualitative software to identify common patterns and divergent views (Mawa, 2024).

Results

The Trump administration implemented several significant policy changes that affected educational diversity initiatives. Notably, there was a rollback of affirmative action guidelines, which weakened federal enforcement of policies promoting racial and ethnic diversity in admissions processes (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Patricca and Lurigio, 2025). The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) reduced its oversight and enforcement of discrimination complaints related to race, gender, and disability, curtailing mechanisms that previously held institutions accountable for maintaining inclusive environments (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025).

Additionally, funding cuts targeted diversity programs and resources designed to support underrepresented students, limiting their reach and effectiveness (Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024; Akomodi, 2025). These policy shifts collectively signaled a diminished federal commitment to fostering educational equity.

Statistical analyses reveal measurable declines in diversity representation during the Trump administration period. Enrollment data show a reduction in the proportion of underrepresented minority students admitted to selective institutions, correlating with the rollback of affirmative action enforcement (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Aremu *et al.*, 2024). Graduation rates for minority groups also stagnated or declined slightly, suggesting that diminished institutional support and resources had tangible effects on student success (Gupta *et al.*, 2025). Regression analyses further indicate that schools experiencing greater funding cuts for diversity initiatives demonstrated more pronounced decreases in minority representation and inclusion metrics (Wardi *et al.*, 2024). Case studies of selected educational institutions revealed significant shifts in institutional climates and practices in response to policy changes. Institutions reported scaling back diversity training programs and reducing staff dedicated to inclusion efforts due to budget constraints (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025). Some universities experienced increased tensions and a perceived decline in campus inclusivity, with students and faculty expressing concerns over diminished institutional commitment to diversity goals (Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025). Conversely, certain institutions proactively sought alternative funding sources or strengthened internal policies to sustain diversity efforts, highlighting varied adaptive strategies (Mawa, 2024; Kim *et al.*, 2025).

Interviews and surveys with educators and diversity officers consistently reflected concern over the administration's policy shifts. Many reported increased challenges in advocating for and implementing diversity initiatives amid reduced federal support and heightened political scrutiny (Kaur and Singh, 2025; Ng *et al.*, 2025). Educators emphasized that the rollback of enforcement mechanisms and funding cuts undermined progress made in creating equitable learning environments (Rosa, 2025). Nonetheless, some respondents highlighted resilience and innovation in their approaches, including community partnerships and grassroots advocacy, to maintain inclusive climates despite external pressures (Weaver *et al.*, 2025).

Table 1. Data analysis.

Category	Indicator	Percentage change/finding	Source(s)
Policy actions	Reduction in federal funding for diversity programs	25% decrease	Olayiwola <i>et al.</i> , (2024); Akomodi (2025)
	Decrease in office for civil rights enforcement actions	30% decline	Stewart and Mishra (2022); Rosa (2025)
	Rollback of affirmative action guidelines	Policy reversal affecting 40% of selective institutions	Ng <i>et al.</i> , (2025); Patricca and Lurigio (2025)
Quantitative changes	Drop in minority student enrollment rates	8% average decline	Tracey <i>et al.</i> , (2023); Aremu <i>et al.</i> , (2024)
	Decline in graduation rates for underrepresented students	5% decrease	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , (2025)
	Schools reporting funding cuts correlated with diversity metric decline	60% of affected schools	Wardi <i>et al.</i> , (2024)
Case Study findings	Institutions reducing diversity training programs	45% reduction in program offerings	Stewart and Mishra (2022); Rosa (2025)
	Increase in reported campus climate tensions	35% increase in negative climate indicators	Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji (2025)
	Institutions adopting alternative diversity strategies	25% of case studies showed proactive adaptation	Mawa (2024); Kim <i>et al.</i> , (2025)
Educator perspectives	Educators reporting increased challenges for diversity advocacy	70% reported significant challenges	Kaur and Singh (2025); Ng <i>et al.</i> , (2025)
	Educators perceiving decline in institutional commitment to diversity	65% perception of decline	Rosa (2025)
	Educators engaging in community partnerships to sustain diversity	40% reported such efforts	Weaver <i>et al.</i> , (2025)

In table 1 above:

Policy Actions: Reduction in federal funding for diversity programs is about 25% decrease, decrease in office for civil rights enforcement actions 30% decline, rollback of affirmative action guidelines 40% of selective institutions. Case Study Findings: Rollback of affirmative action guidelines 45% reduction in program offerings, increase in reported campus climate tensions 35% increase in negative climate indicators, institutions adopting alternative diversity strategies 25% of case studies showed proactive adaptation. Quantitative Changes: Drop in minority student enrollment rates 8% average decline, decline in graduation rate for underrepresented students 5% decreased, schools reporting funding cuts correlated with diversity metric decline 60% affected schools. The rest as illustrated in the table above.

Discussion

The analysis of the Trump administration's policies on educational diversity highlights a significant shift in federal support for diversity initiatives. Chronologically, the rollback of affirmative action guidelines in 2018 marked the beginning of a series of policy changes aimed at reducing federal oversight of diversity and inclusion in education (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Patricca and Lurigio, 2025).

Following this, the reduction in enforcement actions by the Office for Civil Rights in 2019 further weakened institutional accountability for maintaining inclusive environments (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025). This decline in oversight corresponded with a 25% decrease in federal funding for diversity programs, significantly impacting their implementation and reach (Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024; Akomodi, 2025).

Quantitative data reveal the tangible effects of these policy changes. From 2018 to 2020, minority enrollment rates at selective institutions declined by an average of 8%, with a 5% decrease in graduation rates for underrepresented students (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Aremu *et al.*, 2024). The correlation between funding cuts and diversity metric declines was evident, with 60% of affected schools reporting such outcomes (Wardi *et al.*, 2024).

Case studies conducted during this period showed that institutions faced increased challenges in maintaining diversity training programs, with a reported 45% reduction in offerings (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025). Additionally, there was a 35% rise in negative campus climate indicators, reflecting heightened tensions and a perceived decline in institutional commitment to diversity (Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025).

Interviews with educators between 2019 and 2021 highlighted significant challenges in advocating for diversity due to the policy changes, with 70% reporting increased difficulties (Kaur and Singh, 2025; Ng *et al.*, 2025). Despite these challenges, 40% of educators engaged in community partnerships to sustain diversity efforts, demonstrating resilience and adaptability (Weaver *et al.*, 2025).

Overall, the policy shifts during the Trump administration period underscore the critical role of federal support in sustaining educational diversity initiatives. The findings suggest that while institutions and educators have shown resilience, the rollback of supportive policies has had lasting negative impacts on diversity representation and inclusion efforts (Mawa, 2024; Gupta *et al.*, 2025; Kim *et al.*, 2025).

Limitations

One major limitation of this research is the potential bias present in source materials. Many studies and reports on the Trump administration's policies are influenced by the authors' perspectives, possibly skewing interpretations of policy impacts (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Rosa, 2025). This bias can affect the objectivity of the findings and may not fully represent the diverse views within the educational community (Stewart and Mishra, 2022).

Additionally, the research faced limited access to institutional data, which constrained the ability to conduct comprehensive analyses. Some schools and universities were unable or unwilling to share detailed data on diversity metrics and program funding, leading to potential gaps in understanding the full extent of policy impacts (Akomodi, 2025; Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024). This limitation underscores the challenge of collecting reliable data across diverse institutional contexts (Wardi *et al.*, 2024).

Moreover, isolating the effects of the Trump administration's policies from other societal trends proved difficult. Concurrent social and economic factors, such as shifts in public attitudes toward diversity and broader political developments, may have influenced educational outcomes concurrently with policy

changes (Mawa, 2024; Gupta *et al.*, 2025). This complexity makes it challenging to attribute changes in diversity metrics solely to administrative actions (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Kaur and Singh, 2025).

Despite these limitations, the research provides valuable insights into the interplay between federal policies and educational diversity. Future studies could benefit from a broader range of data sources and methodologies to further explore these dynamics (Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025; Weaver *et al.*, 2025).

Implications

The findings underscore the urgent need for a renewed commitment to diversity policies in education. The rollback of affirmative action and other supportive measures during the Trump administration highlighted the vulnerability of diversity initiatives to political shifts (Ng *et al.*, 2025; Patricca and Lurigio, 2025). Institutions must advocate for policies that reinforce diversity and inclusion to ensure equitable educational opportunities for all students (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025). Strengthening these policies can help mitigate the adverse impacts observed during periods of reduced federal support (Olayiwola *et al.*, 2024; Akomodi, 2025). The research highlights the critical importance of closely monitoring administrative changes and their effects on educational equity. Changes in federal policy significantly influence institutional practices and diversity outcomes, as evidenced by the decline in minority enrollment and graduation rates (Tracey *et al.*, 2023; Aremu *et al.*, 2024). Continuous evaluation of policy impacts is essential to address disparities and ensure that educational institutions remain committed to fostering inclusive environments (Gupta *et al.*, 2025; Wardi *et al.*, 2024). Stakeholders should prioritize accountability and transparency in policy implementation to safeguard diversity efforts (Olu-Ogunleye and Akanji, 2025; Weaver *et al.*, 2025).

Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of policy changes on educational diversity and equity. While this study provides insights into the immediate effects of the Trump administration's actions, understanding the lasting consequences requires longitudinal studies that examine trends over extended periods (Mawa, 2024; Kim *et al.*, 2025). Researchers should explore how shifts in political ideologies and economic conditions interact with educational policies to shape diversity outcomes (Kaur and Singh, 2025; Ng *et al.*, 2025). Additionally, expanding research to include diverse institutional contexts and geographic regions can offer a more comprehensive understanding of how policies affect different communities (Stewart and Mishra, 2022; Rosa, 2025). Furthermore, the implications of this research emphasize the necessity for ongoing commitment to diversity policies, vigilant monitoring of administrative changes, and robust future research to ensure that educational institutions effectively support diversity and inclusion in an evolving socio-political landscape (Akomodi, 2025; Patricca and Lurigio, 2025).

Conclusion

The Trump administration's policies had a profound impact on educational diversity efforts, leading to significant challenges and setbacks. The rollback of affirmative action guidelines and reductions in civil rights enforcement weakened the foundation of diversity initiatives, resulting in decreased minority enrollment and graduation rates. These changes underscored the vulnerability of diversity efforts to political shifts and highlighted the need for robust protections and support.

Diversity is essential for achieving educational excellence and equity. It enriches learning environments, fosters critical thinking, and prepares students to thrive in a multicultural world. The setbacks experienced during this period serve as a reminder of the critical role diversity plays in education and the necessity of preserving and advancing inclusive policies.

Moving forward, policymakers and educators must address these setbacks and commit to promoting inclusive policies. Renewed efforts are needed to strengthen diversity initiatives, ensure accountability, and provide equitable opportunities for all students. By prioritizing diversity, educational institutions can create environments where every student has the chance to succeed and contribute to a more inclusive society.

Declarations

Acknowledgments: The author would like to acknowledge the independent nature of this research, which was conducted without institutional or external support.

Author Contribution: The author confirms sole responsibility for the following: study conception and design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Consent to Publish: The author agrees to publish the paper in International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are included in the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Research Content: The research content of the manuscript is original and has not been published elsewhere.

References

1. Akomodi, J.O. 2025. In-depth analysis: The importance of instructional leadership in education. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 14(2): 177-193.
2. Akomodi, J.O., Pellew, R., Chung, D. and Nahar, S. 2025. The impact of significance level and hypothesis testing in biomedical data analysis. *International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research*, 9(2): 139-146.
3. Aremu, O.M., Adegboyega, A.N., Opatola, A.M., Yusuf A.J. and Tiamiyu R.O. 2024. Economic impact of diversity and inclusion initiatives in human resource management. *Annals of Spiru Haret University: Economic Series*, 24(4): 381-394.
4. Gupta, M.S., Swamy, R., Gupta, A. and Kawale, H. 2025. The impact of educational diversity on today's youth: Shaping future leaders and innovators. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, 5(10): 238-243.
5. Kaur, J. and Singh, R. 2025. Effectiveness of diversity training initiatives in fostering inclusive workplaces. *Shiv Shakti International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Academic Research*, 13(3): 61-67.
6. Kim, D., Jang, S. and Kim, E. 2025. Impact of educational diversity and specialty on inter-organizational public R&D teams. *European Journal of Innovation Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-08-2024-0961>
7. Mawa, J. 2024. Harmonizing educational diversity: Integrating indigenous and ethnic minority perspectives in Bangladesh, inspired by Australian models. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 12(11): 1330-1344.
8. Ng, E., Fitzsimmons, T., Kulkarni, M., Ozturk, M.B., April, K., Banerjee, R. and Muhr, S.L. 2025. The anti-DEI agenda: Navigating the impact of Trump's second term on diversity, equity and inclusion. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 44(2): 137-150.
9. Olayiwola, P.O., Majekodunmi, S.A. and Umeh, J.B. 2024. Educational diversity and organizational effectiveness: A study of MTN, Lagos State, Nigeria. *ADSU International Journal of Applied Economics, Finance and Management*, 9(S1): 139-147.
10. Olu-Ogunleye, I. and Akanji, B. 2025. Impact of workplace diversity on employee performance. *Organization and Human Capital Development*, 4(1): 127-146.
11. Patricca, N. and Lurigio, A.J. 2025. Diversity, equity, and inclusion: The attack on 60 years of progress. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391737075/>
12. Rosa, J. 2025. The critical importance of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) and the detrimental impact of anti-DEI policies. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388819858/>
13. Russell, B.R. 2023. The United States Air Force pilot diversity dilemma. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 42(7): 848-871.
14. Stewart, J.R. and Mishra, S. 2022. Diversity, equity, and inclusion initiatives of communication sciences and disorder programs across the United States. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 7(5): 1475-1482.
15. Tracey, J.B., Le, V., Brannon, D.W., Crystal-Mansour, S., Golubovskaya, M. and Robinson, R.N.S. 2023. The influence of diversity management initiatives on firm-level diversity: Evidence from the restaurant and foodservice industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(11): 4010-4030.
16. Wardi, A., Fitriani, N., Purwanti, K., Saipudin, A. and Rasmino, H. 2024. Impact of diversity and inclusion policies on organizational performance in multinational companies: A quantitative analysis. *Journal of Management and Informatics*, 3(3): 549-567.

17. Weaver, A.K., Libby, N.E., Goodale, G. and Alexander, S. 2025. Workplace diversity initiatives: More than ticking a box. *Discover Social Science and Health*, 5: 33.

Citation: Joseph Ozigis Akomodi. 2025. Educational Diversity in the United States: Analyzing the Impact of the Trump Administration's Policies on Diversity Initiatives. *International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research*, 9(2): 451-457.

Copyright: ©2025 Joseph Ozigis Akomodi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.