**Research Article** 

# **Evaluation of Productive Traits of Nigerian Heavy Local Chicken Ecotype Selected For Six Generations through Selection Index**

Udeh, F.U., Agbo, M.C. and Nwosu, C.C.

Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka Corresponding Author Email: fredrickudeh11@gmail.com

**Received:** Nov 6, 2020

**Accepted:** Nov 15, 2020

**Published:** Nov 21, 2020

Abstract: A multiple selection programme was designed and carried out to further determine the genetic response of productive traits of the Nigerian heavy local chicken ecotype (NHLCE) using selection index from fourth to sixth generations. A total of 270 pullets (23 week old) from a random breeding population of heavy local chicken ecotype were used for the study. The birds have been subjected to three generations  $(G_1, G_2 \text{ and } G_3)$  of index selection. The parameters measured included Body Weight at First Egg (BWFE), Average Egg Weight (AEW), and Total Egg Number (TEN). The hens were housed individually in cages and fed layers ration G<sub>4</sub> and G<sub>5</sub>: 110g/hen/day; G6: 125g/hen/day. Water was also given ad libitum for 16 weeks egg production (short term egg production). A control population was established to monitor for environmental (rE) effects and estimate genetic responses. Data on BWFE, AEW, and TEN were evaluated using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Selection response indicators namely, Selection differential ( $\Delta S$ ), expected, predicted and realized genetic gains were determined for each trait. Direct selection responses namely expected, predicted and realized genetic gains were all positive for all the traits selected. Expected average genetic gain per generation for BWFE, TEN and AEW were 66.2g, 4.19 and 1.01g respectively. For gain in index traits due to selection on index score, a mean value of 1.96 eggs was recorded for TEN, 0.14g for AEW and 11.65g for BWFE. The ratio for realized to expected genetic gains were all positive across the three generations with values of 0.96 for BWFE, 1.42g for AEW and 1.62 for TEN. It was concluded that the method applied for selection improved the traits of interest, hence, selection index is recommended for multiple trait selection.

**Keywords:** Sixth generation, performance evaluation, productive traits, local chicken ecotype and selection index.

### Introduction

The local chickens of Nigerian play major roles through their contributions to food security, household income, employment and quick funds in emergencies (Adedokun and Sonaiya, 2001; Momoh, 2005; Momoh *et al.*, 2010). Hence the desire for the development of Nigerian breed of egg chicken, integration and commercialization into the production systems through selection for productive traits. The improvements of the indigenous chicken genetic resources require sustained and painstaking approach to make Nigeria self-sufficient in poultry products. Biochemical genetics/genetic engineering biotechnology and more recently genomics offers useful information to breeders with regard to poultry improvement; however, they are not likely to replace the conventional methods of selection (Nordskog, 1981; FAO, 2003). The novel methods could augment the traditional methods such that information obtained could be incorporated into an overall selection index to make selection more effective. Thus, for Nigeria to develop her own indigenous breed of egg-type chicken,

selection is the basic technology and a better option (Agbo *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, this study was designed to determine the genetic response of productive traits of the Nigerian heavy local chicken ecotype (NHLCE) using selection index.

## **Materials and Methods**

### Study site

The study was conducted at the Department of Animal Science Teaching and Research Farm, University of Nigeria Nsukka. Nsukka lies in the derived Savannah region, and is located on longitudes 7<sup>o</sup> 24<sup>1</sup>E and latitudes 5<sup>o</sup> 22<sup>1</sup>N with annual rainfall range of 986-2098mm. The climate is of humid tropical setting with relative humidity range of 56.01-100%. The average diurnal minimum temperature ranges between 20.99-37<sup>o</sup>C (Okonkwo and Akubuo, 2007). Nsukka is characterized by two seasons of the year. The rainy season extends from April-October while the dry season spans from November-April with no sharp demarcation.

### **Management of Experimental Animals**

Artificial insemination technique was used to generate the foundation stock. Six (6) heavy local chicken ecotype cocks and sixty (60) hens were randomly selected from the reference population and housed in a battery cage system in the Teaching and Research farm of the Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, in a mating ratio of one cock to ten hens (1:10). The cocks (sires) and hens (dams) were identified with sire and dam numbers using tags: sire identification were 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, while dams were in groups of tens group A, B, C, D, E and F. Semen was collected according to the massage technique Burrows and Quinn (1937) from each of the cocks and diluted or extended using sodium citrate dehydrate. The dams were artificial inseminated according to dam group to produce generation four (G<sub>4</sub>). Fertile eggs were collected and hatched to produce the day old chicks. The management system adopted was as described by Stanfield (1969), from day-old (0-8weeks), (9-22weeks) and (23-39weeks) of age. Formulated rations were fed according to each growth phases. The layer's ration contained 16.5% crude protein and 2,600 Kcal ME/kg at the rate of 110g/hen/day in G<sub>4</sub> and G<sub>5</sub> generations. The layer's ration was fed 125g/hen/day in G<sub>6</sub> due to improvement in body weight. Water was given ad libitum, while routine vaccinations were administered at each growth phase.

### **Data Collection and Measurement**

A simplified linear selection index according to Nordskog (1981) in the relative economic weights and heritability of the traits was constructed and used as weighing factors for phenotypic values. All hens belonging to the G<sub>4</sub> generation were subjected to selection using a selection index incorporating, BWFE, AEW and TEN. The phenotypic performance of each hen in these traits was represented in the index as  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , and  $X_3$  for BFWE, AEW, TEN respectively. The index score (1) for each hen became a univarite character (trait) subjectable to selection. The index score (1) thus, enabled the ranking of the hens for the purpose of selection and a hen which attained the index score or above the score was selected for the next generation.

The general form of the index is given as

$$I = \sum bix_{i} = \sum aih_{1}^{2} X_{1}^{1} + a_{2}h_{2}^{2}X_{1}^{1} + - - - aihiX_{i}^{1}$$

Where  $bi = aih_i^2$ 

ai = the relative economic weight of the trait in the index

 $h_i^2$  = heritability estimate of the trait in the index

Xi = standardized phenotypic value of the ith trait in the index BWFE, AEW & TEN I = Index

The standardized variable xi was obtained according to Oluyemi and Roberts (2000) as  $xi = \frac{xi - \bar{x}i}{\sigma xi}$ 

- Where xi = Record of the performance of an individual in the trait of the index  $\overline{x}i = mean$  of the performance of the whole population in the ith trait of the index
  - $\sigma xi = population phenotyphic standard deviation for the ith trait$

### **Results and Discussion**

The results of the expected direct response (Ri), Cumulative (CUMR), expected average ( $\overline{Ri}$ ) and per generation response across the three generations is presented in table 1. The result shows that the expected direct response did not increase progressively in BWFE as it did in TEN and AEW. However, all the traits showed positive responses across the generations. The values obtained for average expected direct genetic response ( $\overline{Ri}$ ) across generations in this study indicated positive selection response after three generation of index selection with a value of 68.44g for BWFE, 6.46 TEN and 1.20g AEW which were however higher than the G<sub>3</sub> base population. The values obtained in this study were higher than values reported by Ogbu (2010) who worked on generations zero, one and two of the Nigerian Heavy local chicken ecotype.

Trait	Gen.	Ri(g)	CUMRi (g)	Ri (g)	Ri/yr (g)		
BWFE	G <sub>4</sub>	66.26	66.26	68.44	66.26		
	G <sub>5</sub>	68.13	82.39		68.13		
	G <sub>6</sub>	70.94	53.33		70.94		
AEW	G4	1.01	1.01	1.20	1.01		
	G <sub>5</sub>	1.08	2.09		1.08		
	G <sub>6</sub>	1.50	3.59		1.50		
TEN	$G_4$	4.19	4.19	6.46	4.19		
	G <sub>5</sub>	6.09	10.28		6.09		
	G <sub>6</sub>	9.11	19.39		9.11		
BWFE = Body Weight at First Egg, AEW = Average Egg Weight, TEN = Total Egg							
Number, $G_4$ , $G_5$ , $G_6$ = Generation four, five and six, <b>Ri</b> = Expected Direct Genetic							
Response, $CUMRi = Cumulative$ Genetic Response, $\overline{Ri} = Average$ Genetic							
Response per Generation, <b>Ri/yr</b> = Expected Direct Genetic Response per year							

 Table 1. Expected Direct Response (Ri), Cumulative (CUMRi) and Average Genetic

 Response for selected traits across G4, G5 and G6 generation.

The results of the predicted genetic response (RPi) and the realized genetic gains ( $\Delta$ GR) for the index selected traits across the three generations are presented in table 2. The result shows that for BWFE, the predicted genetic gain was negative across the three generations while TEN and AEW were fluctuating. However, for AEW both predicted response and realized genetic gains were positive and increased from G<sub>4</sub> (0.198) and (0.590) respectively before dropping to (0.093) and (0.18) in G<sub>4</sub> with appreciable increase to (0.217) and (0.37) in G<sub>6</sub> above G<sub>5</sub>; for the TEN, there was progressive increase from G<sub>4</sub> (0.030) and (0.51), G<sub>5</sub> (0.732) and (3.27) and G<sub>6</sub> (1.089) and (2.41) for both the predicted genetic gain and the realized genetic responses. The significance of index selection on the three selected characters have shown much genetic progress which one can physically see in the size and number of eggs produced by the heavy local chicken ecotype.

The values obtained for expected direct genetic response (Ri) in this study were higher than values reported by Ogbu (2010) in body weight at first egg. However, for average egg weight (AEW) and total egg number (TEN) the values reported by Ogbu 2010 were higher across generations. Similar trend was also demonstrated in cumulative genetic response (CUMRi) and expected direct genetic response per year.

	<b>_</b>	· · · · ·				
Trait	Gen.	RPi (g)	$\Delta \mathbf{GR} (\mathbf{g})$			
BWFE	$G_4$	-8.80	-12.87			
	G5	-2.66	-22.94			
	G <sub>6</sub>	-3.17	-10.06			
AEW	$G_4$	0.198	0.59			
	G <sub>5</sub>	0.093	0.18			
	G <sub>6</sub>	0.217	0.37			
TEN	$G_4$	0.030	0.51			
	G <sub>5</sub>	0.732	3.27			
	G <sub>6</sub>	1.089	2.41			
BWFE = Body Weight at First Egg, AEW = Average Egg Weight, TEN = Total						
Egg Number, $G_4,G_5,G_6$ = Generation four, five and six, RPi = Predicted Direct						
Genetic Response, $\Delta GR$ = Realized Genetic Gain/Response						

Table 2. Predicted Genetic Response (RPi) and realized genetic gain ( $\Delta$ GR)

The results of the expected genetic gain/response in index traits as a result of selection on index score and the ratio of realized to expected genetic gain in index traits for  $G_4$ ,  $G_5$  and  $G_6$  generations are presented in table 3. The observed expected genetic response in the index traits as a result of selection on the index score was positive for the three traits selected across the three generations.

The BWFE decreased from 13.27g in  $G_4$  to 11.94g  $G_5$  and finally dropped to 9.753g in  $G_6$  while the average genetic gain per generation was 11.65g. The values for AEW presented similar trends ranging from 0.17g in  $G_4$  to 0.18g in G5 before dropping to 0.07g in  $G_6$  generation with average of 0.14g. For TEN, the genetic gain recorded were 0.52 eggs in  $G_4$ , 4.37 eggs in  $G_5$  and 0.98 eggs in  $G_6$  with average per generation of 1.96 eggs. The values obtained in this study on expected genetic gain, cumulative genetic gain per generation and realized direct genetic gain were lower than the values recorded by Ogbu (2010).

The lower values may be attributed to some losses on the genetic gain on Generation 3, where selection did not take place and as a result, genetic gains were lost. However, the results revealed that among the three index-selected traits, BWFE reflected the largest response in all the three generations of the study though it had the least economic weight associated with it. Hicks *et al.* (1998), reported that a trait that had the highest economic weight tends to dominate the index. The present study, however failed to present such scenario and this could be traced to the large genetic and phenotypic variances of BWFE when compared to other traits in the index.

Trait	Gen.	∆Gi (g)	CUM∆Gi (g)	$\Delta \mathbf{GR} / \Delta \mathbf{Gi}$			
BWFE	<b>G</b> 4	13.27	13.27	0.97			
	G5	11.94	25.21	1.00			
	G <sub>6</sub>	9.73	34.95	0.91			
Average/Gen		11.65	-	-			
	$G_4$	0.17	0.17	3.47			
AEW	G5	0.18	0.35	1.00			
	G <sub>6</sub>	0.07	0.42	0.91			
Average/Gen		0.14	-	-			
	$G_4$	0.52	0.52	1.00			
TEN	G5	4.37	4.37	0.75			
	G <sub>6</sub>	0.98	5.87	2.50			
Average/Gen		1.96	-	-			
BWFE = Body Weight at First Egg, AEW = Average Egg Weight, TEN = Total Egg							
Number, $G_4,G_5,G_6$ = Generation four, five and six, $\Delta Gi$ = Expected Genetic							
Gain/Response, CUM $\Delta$ Gi = Cumulative Genetic Gain per Generation, $\Delta$ GR = Realized							
Direct Genetic Gain							

Table 3. Expected Genetic Gain / Response in Index traits as a Result of Selection on Index Score

### Conclusion

The method of selection used for BWFE, AEW and TEN was effective in improving the traits as the genetic responses were positive and relatively high. The selection intensity pressure applied improved the traits studied and significant genetic variations exist which provide room for future selection responses in subsequent generations.

### Recommendation

It is therefore, recommended that selection based on an index should be applied in breeding programmes for the development of the Nigerian heavy local chicken ecotype for increased egg production traits.

### Acknowledgement

The authors wish to immensely appreciate the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) of the Nigerian government through the University of Nigeria Institutional Based Research (IBR) Intervention (TETFUND/DESS/UNI/NSUKKA/2018/RP/VOL.I), which funded the research.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### References

- 1. Adetayo, A.S. and Babafunso, S.E. 2001. Comparison of the performance of Nigerian indigenous chickens from three agro-ecological zones. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 13(2):1-6.
- 2. Agbo, M.C., Ogbu, C.C. and Nwosu, C.C. 2013. Exploiting the potentials of the heavy local chicken ecotype by conventional selection approach. Animal breeding and feeding in Nigeria. Occasional Scientific Publication, for Nigeria agricultural transformation Agenda in Honour of Emeritus Professor Chjioke Nwosu at 75.

- 3. Burrows, W.H. and Quinn, J.P. 1937. Artificial insemination of Chickens and Turkeys. United states Department of Agriculture Circular No. 525.
- 4. FAO, 2003. Genetic Improvement Methods to Support Sustainable Utilization. Biotechnology Forum, 17 November–14 December, 2003.
- 5. Hicks, C., Muir, W.M. and Stick, D.A. 1998. Selection index updating for maximizing rate of annual genetic gain in laying hens. Poultry Science, 77(1): 1-7.
- 6. Momoh, O.M. 2005. Genetics and phenotypic evaluation of two Nigerian Local Chicken Ecotype and its crosses with the light ecotype. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, 164p.
- Momoh, O.M., Nwosu, C.C. and Adeyinka, I.A. 2010. Comparative evaluation of two Nigerian local chicken ecotypes and their crosses for growth traits. International Journal of Poultry Science, 9(8): 738-743.
- 8. Nordskog, A.W. 1981. Notes on poultry breeding and genetics. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 123-134 pp.
- 9. Ogbu, C.C. 2010. Genetic change in the Nigerian heavy local chicken ecotype through selection for body weight and egg production traits. A Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- 10. Okonkwo, W.I. and Akubuo, C.O. 2007. National Centre for Energy Research and Development, University of Nigeria Nsukka Publications.
- 11. Oluyemi, J.A. and Roberts, F.A. 2000. Poultry production in warm wet climates. Revised Edition, Spectrum Books Limited, Ibadan.
- 12. Stanfield, W.O. 1969. Schaum's outline of theory and problems of genetics. Schaum's series, Mcgraw-Hill book Co. New York.

**Citation:** Udeh, F.U., Agbo, M.C. and Nwosu, C.C. 2020. Evaluation of Productive Traits of Nigerian Heavy Local Chicken Ecotype Selected For Six Generations through Selection Index. International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research, 4(11): 20-25.

**Copyright:** ©2020 Udeh, F.U., et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.