

## Research Article

# Comparative Study of Selected Luffa Cultivars Resistant to Powdery Mildew and Downey Mildew in Sri Lanka

Rajapaksha, R.G.A.S<sup>1</sup>, Malathi, P<sup>1</sup>, Shyamalee Kohombange<sup>1\*</sup>, Nilanthi, W.D.G.P.<sup>1</sup>  
and Siriwardhana, S.M.S.P.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Horticultural Crop Research and Development Institute, Gannoruwa, Sri Lanka.

<sup>2</sup>Agriculture Research Station, Giradurukotte, Sri Lanka.

\*Corresponding Author: shyamaleekohombange@gmail.com

Received: Apr 9, 2019

Accepted: Apr 16, 2019

Published: Apr 20, 2019

**Abstract:** Genetic resistance is one of the most suitable strategies to control cucurbit powdery mildew and downy mildew on *Luffa cylindrica* (L.), incited by *Podosphaera xanthii* and *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*. Annual surveys of the screening of germplasm for new sources of genetic resistance provide a vital support to Luffa breeding programs. The objective was to determine whether resistance to powdery mildew and downy mildew which has been bred into most commercial resistant Luffa cultivars, was continuing to provide suppression of the pathogen. In this study, the downy and powdery mildew resistance levels of a total of 24 cultivars were determined by using natural infection were evaluated for resistance to the pathogen by a visual scale for leaf lesions of disease symptoms. This study was conducted at Horticultural crop research and development Institute, Gannoruwa, Sri Lanka (Agro ecological zone WU<sub>1</sub>) and Agriculture Research Station, Giradurukotte, Sri Lanka (Agro ecological zone IL<sub>2</sub>). Powdery and downy mildew species differ in host range, ecological requirements, and geographic distribution. But, in here causal agent of the powdery mildew and downy mildew of *Luffa cylindrica* was identified as *Podosphaera xanthii* and *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* based on microscopic observations. These observations are compatible with published data. There was a considerable variation among *Luffa cylindrica* cultivars in terms of resistance to powdery mildew and downy mildew diseases. From 24 cultivars, In 17 x N5-2, N5-3 x N5-2, 542 x N1-1, N5-2 x N3-2, In 17 x N1-1, 9895 x N5-2, In 17 x N5-3, N3-4 x N1-1, N5-3 x N3-4, 9895 x N3-4, 804 x N3-4, 542 x N3-4, 542 cultivars were resistant for the powdery mildew disease and In 17 x N5-2, N5-2 x N3-2, 9895 x N5-2, In 17 x N5-3, N3-4 x N1-1, N5-3 x N3-4, 9895 x N3-4, N1-1, N5-2, N5-3, 2333, N3-2 cultivars were resistant for the downy mildew disease. Hence, the resistance rate identified in some cultivars In 17 x N5-2, N5-2 x N3-2, 9895 x N5-2, In 17 x N5-3, N3-4 x N1-1, N5-3 x N3-4, 9895 x N3-4, N1-1, N5-2, N5-3, 2333, N3-2 as resistant varieties for both diseases under open field condition would be reliable and applicable for powdery and downy mildew resistance breeding and growing purposes.

**Keywords:** *Luffa cylindrica*, Powdery mildew, Downy mildew, Resistant varieties.

## 1. Introduction

*Luffa cylindrica* (L.) syn. *Luffa aegyptiaca*, commonly called as sponge gourd, vegetable sponge or bath sponge gourd, is a member of Cucurbitaceae family. Luffa (*Luffa cylindrica* (L.)) is popular vegetable belonging to the family cucurbitaceae and cultivated in different agro-ecological zones of Sri Lanka. Powdery mildew and downy mildew are two major constraints to profitable cultivation of Luffa (Anon. 1990). Powdery mildew is one of the most prevalent and aggressive diseases that affect leaves in cucurbits (McGrath, 2017). The

infection is evident by the development of white mycelia and conidia, mainly on leaves and stems, but it can also affect fruits and floral structures. Severely infected leaves may become chlorotic, or even necrotic and brittle. Consequently, it decreases the photosynthetic potential, and concomitantly lower the fruit quality and yield (Stadnik and Bettiol 2001). *Podosphaera xanthii* [syn. *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* (Schlecht) Pollacci] and *Golovinomyces orontii* (syn. *Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC. Ex Mérat) are the most important CPM pathogen species of cucurbits (Kuzuya *et al.*, 2006). *P. xanthii* occurs in a higher frequency in tropical and subtropical regions, whereas *G. orontii* is most observed in temperate climate (Cohen *et al.*, 2004, Naruzawa *et al.*, 2011). Physiological races and pathotypes are well documented for both species (Lebeda *et al.*, 2016). The chemical control of CPM may be ineffective due to development of resistance (insensitivity) of CPM to some fungicides (McGrath and Shishkoff, 2003; McGrath, 2006; Sedláková and Lebeda, 2008).

Cucurbit downy mildew, caused by *P. cubensis* (Berk. and Curt.) Rostov is one of the most important diseases affecting field and glasshouse cucumbers, and other cucurbits around the world (Lebeda and Widrechner, 2003). Although cucurbit downy mildew most often occurs in tropical, subtropical and warm, temperate areas of the world (Lebeda and Widrechner, 2003). Interactions and host-parasite specificity between Cucurbitaceae and *P. cubensis* are very heterogeneous and complex.

The existence of *P. cubensis* pathogenicity variation on the level of pathotypes and races has been confirmed in different countries around the world (Lebeda and Widrechner, 2003; Lebeda *et al.*, 2006). Infection by *P. cubensis* is strongly influenced by environmental conditions. For that reason, it is necessary to ensure stable microclimate conditions during *in vitro* incubation. In field conditions, incubation of *P. cubensis* takes 4-12 days, and the shortest incubation occurs at 25°C (day) and 15°C (night). The production of spores requires high air humidity and a dark period of approximately six hours, and is inhibited by water drops on the surface of the leaves (Cohen, 1981).

However, according to the terminology of McDonald and Linde (2002), *P. cubensis* belongs to the group of “the highest risk pathogens” with high evolutionary potential (Lebeda and Urban, 2004a; Lebeda *et al.*, 2006; Urban and Lebeda, 2006) and, thus, the use of resistance genes has to be combined with other practices of an integrated management system to minimize the risk of the pathogen attack.

Breeding and application of powdery mildew and downy mildew resistant germplasm are among the best methods used to control these diseases (Zhang *et al.*, 2015) because of avoiding the use of environmentally unsafe chemicals and other costly methods. In this study, the downy and powdery mildew resistance levels of a total of 24 cultivars were determined by using natural infection. The most resistant cultivars were identified and can be used as resistant donors in later breeding programmes.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Identification of powdery mildew pathogen

*Podosphaera xanthii* [syn. *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* (Schlecht) Pollacci] and *Golovinomyces orontii* (syn. *Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC. Ex Mérat) are the most important CPM pathogen species of cucurbits (Kuzuya, 2006). Powdery mildew affected luffa leaves were collected from the field of Horticultural Crop Research and Development Institute, Gannoruwa, (upcountry wet zone) in different locations and mycelia parts and conidia of all diseased samples were microscopically observed to identify the morphological features of pathogen.

## 2.2 Identification of downy mildew Pathogen

Several plants of *L. cylindrica* exhibiting yellow angular spots on upper side and greyish-to-black efflorescence on lower side of the leaves were observed in different cultivation fields of Agriculture Research Station, Giradurukotte, Sri Lanka. Infected leaves were collected from fields and the abaxial surface of the symptomatic portion was observed under the microscope.

## 2.3 Variety evaluation of Luffa under open field condition for powdery and downy mildew

Twenty four different luffa cultivars were used in this study. The field of Agriculture Research Station, Girandurukotte was used for downey mildew screening and the field of Horticultural Crop Research and Development Institute, Gannoruwa was used for powdery mildew screening. The test genotypes were screened under natural epiphytotic conditions during the Maha season (January to March, 2019), when the climatic conditions were favourable for severe development of the diseases. The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design with two replications and 15 plants per replication. Seedlings of each genotype were transplanted in raised beds spacing 150 cm apart with plant to plant distance of 50 cm following recommended package of practices (Anon, 1990). The plants developed under natural infection of powdery mildew in Gannoruwa field (agro ecological zone WU<sub>1</sub>) and downy mildew in Girandurukotte (agro ecological zone IL<sub>2</sub>).

## 2.4 Disease assessment and statistical analysis

Each plants were selected in each replication for disease scoring based on per cent leaf area infected and 0–9 rating scale for powdery mildew (0 - No symptoms, 1- >1% symptoms, 3-1-10% symptoms, 5-11-25% symptoms, 7-26-50% symptoms, 9– 51% < symptoms) was followed for disease ratings as suggested by Jenkins and Wehner (1983) and 0-5 visual rating scale for downy mildew (0– no foliar symptoms, 1–1-20 % symptoms, 2–21-40 % symptoms, 3– 41- 60 % symptoms, 4–61-80 % symptoms, 5– 81-100 % symptoms) was used to calculated percent diseases index (PDI) (Thompson and Jenkins, 1985). Scoring was done primarily to determine the response of plants to the two pathogens causing downy and powdery mildew during Maha season (Table. 1). Disease severity was estimated as the percentage of affected leaves. Disease severity (infection degree, ID) was computed using a scale of total number of classes with the Townsend–Heuberger formula (Townsend and Heuberger, 1943):  $ID (\%) = \sum i(n_i \times v_i) / N \times V$ , where  $v_i$  is the damage class,  $n_i$  is the number in one class,  $N$  is the total number,  $V$  is the highest class, and  $i$  is the number of classes.

**Table 1. Disease Scoring Index for Powdery and Downy Mildew**

The resistance level of each cultivar to downy mildew was rated based on its Percent disease Severity Index (DSI) (Boso <i>et al.</i> , 2006):	The resistance level of each cultivar to powdery mildew was rated based on its Percent Disease Severity Index (DSI) (Wang <i>et al.</i> , 1995):
ER–Extremely resistant, SI: 0 to 5.0	ER–Extremely resistant, SI: 0
HR–Highly resistant, SI: 5.1 to 25	HR–Highly resistant, SI: 0.1 to 5.0
R–Resistant, SI: 25.1 to 50	R–Resistant, SI: 5.1 to 25 .0
S–Susceptible, SI: 50.1 to 75	S–Susceptible, SI: 25.1 to 50
HS–Highly susceptible, SI: > 75.1	HS–Highly susceptible, SI: = 50.1 to 100

## 2.5 Data Analysis

The data obtained were tabulated and analyzed subjected to the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedure of Statistical Analysis System (SAS) 9.1 software. Duncan’s New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT) was performed to compare the differences among treatment means at  $p=0.05$ .

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Pathogen identification of Powdery mildew

The powdery mildew fungi of *Luffa cylindrica* was found on the living leaves, on the upper side and underside. Colonies were white to brown, irregular. Hyphae were hyaline, septate. Conidiophores hyaline, straight, unbranched. Conidia formed singly at the apex of the conidiophores. Based on the morphological characteristics especially on the presence of fibrosin bodies in conidia, the identity of powdery mildew fungi was *Podosphaera xanthii*. Fibrosin bodies in conidia were an important characteristic for identification of *Podosphaera xanthii* (Zheng and Chen, 1981) and were found in this study.

#### 3.2 Pathogen identification of Downy mildew

A fungus-like was consistently observed from symptomatic portion which showed hyaline, monopodially branched with 3–5 orders, straight, slightly swollen at the bases like sporangiophores. Each sporangium was found to be lemon shaped, ellipsoidal, black and measured 25 to 30 µm in length and 15 to 20 µm in width. Based on the microscopic measurements and morphological characteristics the fungus was identified as *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* (Waterhouse and Brothers, 1981).

#### 3.3 Variety evaluation of *Luffa cylindrica* in open field condition for powdery and downy mildew under natural infection

**Table 2. Percent Disease severity index for powdery mildew and Downy Mildew of *Luffa cylindrica* at the fruiting stage under natural infection**

Variety	Percent Disease Severity Index of Powdery Mildew	Disease Severity of Powdery Mildew	Percent Disease Severity Index of Downy mildew	Disease Severity of Downy Mildew
In 17 x N5-2	5 <sup>cd</sup>	Highly Resistant	45 <sup>de</sup>	Resistant
N5-3 x N5-2	22 <sup>abc</sup>	Resistant	59 <sup>abc</sup>	Susceptible
542 x N1-1	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	59 <sup>ab</sup>	Susceptible
N5-2 x N3-2	20 <sup>abc</sup>	Resistant	47 <sup>cde</sup>	Resistant
In 17 x N1-1	0 <sup>d</sup>	Extremely Resistant	53 <sup>abcd</sup>	Susceptible
9895 x N5-2	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	45 <sup>de</sup>	Resistant
In 17 x N5-3	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	49 <sup>bcde</sup>	Resistant
N3-4 x N1-1	16 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	29 <sup>f</sup>	Resistant
N5-3 x N3-4	12 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	49 <sup>bcde</sup>	Resistant
9895 x N3-4	14 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	44 <sup>de</sup>	Resistant
804 x N3-4	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	61 <sup>a</sup>	Susceptible
542 x N3-4	18 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	56 <sup>abc</sup>	Susceptible
542	15 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	54 <sup>abcd</sup>	Susceptible
804	30 <sup>a</sup>	Susceptible	54 <sup>abcd</sup>	Susceptible
N1-1	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	42 <sup>e</sup>	Resistant
In17	10 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	52 <sup>abcd</sup>	Susceptible
9895	10 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	54 <sup>abcd</sup>	Susceptible
N5-2	17 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	49 <sup>bcde</sup>	Resistant
N5-3	25 <sup>abc</sup>	Resistant	49 <sup>bcde</sup>	Resistant
2333	20 <sup>abc</sup>	Resistant	50 <sup>bcde</sup>	Resistant
N3-2	18 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	48 <sup>cde</sup>	Resistant
N5-4	25 <sup>abc</sup>	Resistant	52 <sup>abcde</sup>	Susceptible
Gannoruwa Ari	19 <sup>abcd</sup>	Resistant	52 <sup>abcde</sup>	Susceptible
NAGA	9 <sup>bcd</sup>	Resistant	59 <sup>ab</sup>	Susceptible

**Note:** Means followed by the same letter/s along the column are not significantly different at p=0.05 level. (Values within parenthesis are Arcsine values)

The resistance rate of the cultivars for the powdery mildew at the stage of fruiting was higher than that of the cultivars resistant for the downy mildew (Boso et al., 2006; Wang et al., 1995). From 24 cultivars, In 17 x N 5-2 cultivar was highly resistant, In 17 x N 1-1 cultivar was extremely resistant, 804 cultivar was susceptible and other 21 cultivars were resistant to the powdery mildew disease.

From 24 cultivars, 12 cultivars were resistant and 12 cultivars were susceptible to the downy mildew disease. Hence, the resistance rate identified in some cultivars In17xN5-2, N5-2 xN3-2, 9895 x N5-2, In17 x N5-3, N3-4 x N1-1, N5-3 x N3-4, 9895xN3-4, N1-1, N5-2, N5-3, 2333, N3-2 as resistant varieties for both diseases under open field condition would be reliable and applicable for powdery and downy mildew resistance breeding and growing purposes.

#### 4. Conclusion

The powdery mildew of *Luffa cylindrica* of agro ecological zone WU<sub>1</sub> isolated in the present study was confirmed to be caused by *Podosphaera xanthii* and Downy mildew of agro ecological zone IL<sub>2</sub> caused by *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* based on microscopic observation and characteristics of pathogen. These observations are also compatible with published data. In conclusion, there was a considerable variation among *Luffa cylindrica* cultivars in terms of resistance to powdery mildew and downy mildew resulting in the identification of twelve resistant cultivars (In17xN5-2, N5-2 xN3-2, 9895 x N5-2, In17 x N5-3, N3-4 x N1-1, N5-3 x N3-4, 9895xN3-4, N1-1, N5-2, N5-3, 2333, N3-2) belonging to open field condition under natural infection. In contrast growing of the susceptible cultivars should be avoided or be done with high attention, especially in these areas.

#### Conflicts of interest

There is no conflict of interest of any kind.

#### References

1. Anon. 1990. Crop Recommendation Techno guide, Department of Agriculture, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka.
2. Boso, S., Martínez, M.C., Unger, S. and Kassemeyer, H. 2006. Evaluation of foliar resistance to downy mildew in different cv. Albariño clones. VITIS-GEILWEILERHOF, 45(1): 23-27.
3. Cohen, R., Burger, Y. and Katzir, N. 2004. Monitoring physiological races of *Podosphaera xanthii* (syn. *Sphaerotheca fuliginea*), the causal agent of powdery mildew in cucurbits: Factors affecting race identification and the importance for research and commerce. Phytoparasitica, 32(2), 174-183.
4. Cohen, Y. 1981. Downy Mildew of Cucurbits. In: Spencer, D.M. (Ed.), The Downy Mildews. Academic Press, London, UK, 341-354 pp.
5. Jenkins Jr, S.F. and Wehner, T.C. 1983. A system for the measurement of foliar diseases in cucurbits. Cucurbit Genet. Coop. Rpt, 6: 10-12.
6. Kuzuya, M., Yashiro, K., Tomita, K. and Ezura, H. 2006. Powdery mildew (*Podosphaera xanthii*) resistance in melon is categorized into two types based on inhibition of the infection processes. Journal of Experimental Botany, 57(9): 2093-2100.
7. Lebeda, A. and Urban J. 2004. Disease impact and pathogenicity variation in Czech populations of *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*. In: Lebeda, A. and Paris, H.S. (Eds.),



- Progress in Cucurbit Genetics and Breeding Research. Proceedings of Cucurbitaceae 2004, the 8<sup>th</sup> EUCARPIA Meeting on Cucurbit Genetics and Breeding, Palacký University in Olomouc, 2004, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 267-273 pp.
8. Lebeda, A. and Widrlechner, M.P. 2003. A set of Cucurbitaceae taxa for differentiation of *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* pathotypes. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 110: 337-349.
  9. Lebeda, A., Křístková, E., Sedláková, B., McCreight, J.D. and Coffey, M.D. 2016. Cucurbit powdery mildews: methodology for objective determination and denomination of races. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 144(2): 399-410.
  10. Lebeda, A., Widrlechner, M.P. and Urban, J. 2006. Individual and population aspects of interactions between Cucurbits and *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*: Pathotypes and races. In: Holmes, G.J. (Ed.), Proceedings of Cucurbitaceae 2006. Universal Press, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 453-467 pp.
  11. McDonald, B.A. and Linde, C. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 40(1): 349-379.
  12. McGrath, M.T. 2006. Occurrence of fungicide resistance in *Podosphaera xanthii* and impact on controlling cucurbit powdery mildew in New York. In: Cucurbitaceae 2006, Asheville, North Carolina, USA, 17-21 September 2006, 473-482 pp.
  13. McGrath, M.T. 2017. Powdery mildew. In: Keinath, A.P., Wintermantel, W.M., Zitter, T.A. (Eds.), Compendium of cucurbit diseases and insect pests. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, St. Paul: APS Press, 2017, 62-64 pp.
  14. McGrath, M.T. and Shishkoff, N. 2003. First report of the cucurbit powdery mildew fungus (*Podosphaera xanthii*) resistant to strobilurin fungicides in the United States. Plant disease, 87(8): 1007-1007.
  15. Miedaner, T. and Flath, K. 2007. Effectiveness and environmental stability of quantitative powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis*) resistance among winter wheat cultivars. Plant breeding, 126(6): 553-558.
  16. Naruzawa, E.S., Vale, R.K.D., Silva, C.M. and Camargo, L.E.A. 2011. Estudo da diversidade genética de *Podosphaera xanthii* através de marcadores AFLP e seqüências ITS. Botucatu. Summa Phytopathologica, 37(2), 94-100.
  17. Sedláková, B. and Lebeda, A. 2008. Fungicide resistance in Czech populations of cucurbit powdery mildews. Phytoparasitica, 36(3): 272-289.
  18. Stadnik, M.J. and Bettiol, W. 2001. Oídios de cucurbitáceas. In: Stadnik, M.J., Kobori, R.F., Rivera, M.C. *Oídios*. Jaguariuna: Embrapa Meio Ambiente, 2001.
  19. Thompson, D.C. and Jenkins, S.F. 1985. Pictorial assessment key to determine fungicide concentrations that control anthracnose development on cucumber cultivars with varying resistance levels. Plant disease, 69: 833-836.
  20. Townsend, G.K. and Heuberger J.W. 1943. Methods for estimating losses caused by diseases in fungicide experiments. Plant Disease Reporter, 27: 340-343.
  21. Urban, J. and Lebeda, A. 2006. Fungicide resistance in cucurbit downy mildew—methodological, biological and population aspects. Annals of Applied Biology, 149(1): 63-75.

22. Wang, Y., Liu, Y., He, P., Chen, J., Lamikanra, O. and Lu, J. 1995. Evaluation of foliar resistance to *Uncinula necator* in Chinese wild *Vitis* species. *Vitis*, 34(3): 159-164.
23. Waterhouse, G.M. and Brothers, M.P. 1981. The taxonomy of *Pseudoperonospora*. *Mycological Papers*, 148: 1-28.
24. Zhang, P., Zhu, Y., Wang, L., Chen, L. and Zhou, S. 2015. Mining candidate genes associated with powdery mildew resistance in cucumber via super-BSA by specific length amplified fragment (SLAF) sequencing. *BMC genomics*, 16(1): 1058.
25. Zheng, R.Y. and Chen, G.Q. 1981. *Sydowia*, 34: 214–327.

**Citation:** Rajapaksha, R.G.A.S., Malathi, P., Shyamalee Kohombange, Nilanthi, W.D.G.P. and Siriwardhana, S.M.S.P. 2019. Comparative Study of Selected Luffa Cultivars Resistant to Powdery Mildew and Downey Mildew in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research*, 3(4): 171-177.

**Copyright:** ©2019 Rajapaksha, R.G.A.S., et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.